

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Anaheim City SD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Jefferson ES Modernization	10/29/2009	Erickson-Hall Construction Co	\$6,450,000.00
Ponderosa ES	10/15/2007	Woodcliff Corporation	\$20,652,000.00
Palm Lane ES	7/20/07	Angeles Contractor	\$5,187,000.00
Total			\$32,289,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Palm Lane ES	JJ Plumbing	\$11,757.88	\$11,757.88	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment
Palm Lane ES	Soo Kim Electric	\$12,031.00	\$12,031.00	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Stopped paying prevailing wage
Total		\$23,788.88	\$23,788.88		

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Palm Lane ES	\$11,757.88	\$11,757.88	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Palm Lane ES	\$12,031.00	\$12,031.00	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$23,788.88	\$23,788.88	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Anaheim UHSD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Anaheim HS Expansion	2/8/2007	USS Cal Builders Inc.	\$22,127,000.00
Total			\$22,127,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Anaheim HS Expansion	AM Glazing	\$3,709.44	\$3,709.44	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increases
Anaheim HS Expansion	Rock Hard Concrete	\$2,560.10	\$2,560.10	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of prevailing wage
Anaheim HS Expansion	Westside Electric	\$12,420.00	Pending	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Wrong classification
Total		\$18,689.54	\$6,269.54		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Anaheim HS Expansion	\$3,709.44	\$3,709.44	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Anaheim HS Expansion	\$2,560.10	\$2,560.10	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$6,269.54	\$6,269.54	

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report.***)

Awarding Body: Capistrano USD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Carl Hankey K8 Conversion	5/11/2007	Hardy & Harper	\$1,881,234.56
Newhart MS	5/8/2007	Hardy & Harper	\$2,121,225.30
San Juan Hills HS Modular Classrooms and Restrooms (Installation of modular classrooms)	3/13/2008	Modular Structures	\$4,732,494.00
San Juan Hills HS Modular Classrooms and Restrooms (Site Work and Infrastructure)	3/13/2008	HCH Constructors	\$1,131,401.29
Total			\$87,717,071.01

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Carl Hankey K8 Conversion	JC French	\$732.00	\$732.00	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Paid straight time for weekend work
Carl Hankey K8 Conversion	Micon	\$5,790.00	\$5,790.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase for laborers
Newhart MS	Micon	\$9,291.00	\$9,291.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase for laborers
Total		\$15,813.00	\$15,813.00		

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Carl Hankey K8 Conversion	\$732.00	\$732.00	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$732.00	\$732.00	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
Carl Hankey K8 Conversion	Micon	Missed increases	09-0073-PWH	Settled outside of hearing
Newhart MS	Micon	Missed Increases	09-0072-PWH	Settled outside of hearing

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Castro Valley USD**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Chabot & Stanton ES Modernization & Seismic Upgrade	8/11/2006	JW & Sons	\$8,343,000.00
Independent ES Infrastructure Improvements	5/2/2008	BRCO Constructors, Inc	\$2,000,000.00
Total			\$10,343,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Chabot & Stanton ES Modernization & Seismic Upgrade	Olsson Plumbing	\$2,481.89	\$2,481.89	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Apprentice working alone
Total		\$2,481.89	\$2,481.89		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Chabot & Stanton ES Modernization & Seismic Upgrade	\$2,481.89	\$2,481.89	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$2,481.89	\$2,481.89	

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report.***)

Awarding Body: **Department of General Services**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
California School for the Deaf, Fremont	5/16/2007	Star Construction	\$5,843,100.00
Total			\$5,843,100.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
California School for the Deaf, Fremont	Horizon Sheet Metal	\$2,055.50	\$2,055.50	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	missed increase
Total		\$2,055.50	\$2,055.50		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
California School for the Deaf, Fremont	\$2,055.50	\$2,055.50	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$2,055.50	\$2,055.50	

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Evergreen ESD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Chaboya and Quimby Oaks Portables	3/28/2008	Ralph Larsen & Son, Inc.	\$1,596,000.00
Evergreen ES - 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	12/4/2008	Jeff Luchetti Construction, Inc.	\$8,400,000.00
Holly Oak Elementary School - 5 New Portables	1/9/2009	Ralph Larsen & Son, Inc.	\$3,000,000.00
LeyVa Classroom Addition	9/26/2008	Jeff Luchetti Construction, Inc.	\$4,000,000.00
Total			\$16,996,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Evergreen ES - 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	C & F Alamillo Steel	\$21,200.00	\$21,200.00	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Stop Notice
Evergreen ES - 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	Emard Electric	\$ 6,208.13	\$ 6,208.13	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	apprentice working alone
Evergreen ES - 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	MK Pipeline	\$797.68	\$797.68	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase
Total		\$28,205.81	\$28,205.81		

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Evergreen ES – 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	\$21,200.00	\$21,200.00	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Evergreen ES – 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	\$6,208.13	\$6,208.13	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Evergreen ES – 9 Classroom/Multipurpose Addition	\$797.68	\$797.68	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$28,205.81	\$28,205.81	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Fall River JUSD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Burney ES HVAC Project	5/12/2009	Efficient Energy Concepts	\$234,102.00
Fall River HS HVAC Project	5/13/2009	Efficient Energy Concepts	\$868,083.00
Total			\$1,102,185.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total					

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A			
Total			

LCP-AR3

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

7. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Grant JUHSD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
East Natomas Education Complex	12/05/2005	McCarthy Building Companies, Inc.	\$150,000,000.00
Total			\$150,000,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
East Natomas Education Complex				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total					

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
East Natomas Education Complex			
Total			

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

LCP-AR3

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Huntington Beach HSD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Huntington Beach HS Bldgs C, X, & R	3/23/2007	Chegini Enterprises, Inc. DBA SMC Construction Co	\$726,000.00
Total			\$726,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total					

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A			
Total			

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **La Honda Pescadero USD**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Pescadero Elementary School Classroom Replacement	5/25/2008	Trinet Construction, Inc.	\$1,556,500.00
Total			\$1,556,500.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total					

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A			
Total			

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Marin County Office of Education**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Hollis Hall Reconfiguration	9/19/2008	A E Nelson Construction	\$932,400.00
Total			\$932,400.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Hollis Hall Reconfiguration	Valdez Painting	\$1,814.52	\$1,814.52	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of prevailing wages
Total		\$1,814.52	\$1,814.52		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Hollis Hall Reconfiguration	\$1,814.52	\$1,814.52	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$1,814.52	\$1,814.52	

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Mendocino USD**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Mendocino HS ADA Compliance	3/6/2008	Clark Construction	\$192,065.00
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	2/20/2008	Jeff Luchetti Construction, Inc	\$17,695,000.00
Total			\$17,887,065.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	European Plumbing	\$6,430.04	\$6,430.04	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of wages
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	Northwest Engineering	\$6,813.09	\$6,813.09	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	Peterson Builders	\$778.45	\$778.45	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of wages
Total		\$14,021.58	\$14,021.58		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	\$6,430.04	\$6,430.04	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	\$6,813.09	\$6,813.09	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Mendocino K-8 Conversion	\$778.45	\$778.45	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$14,021.58	\$14,021.58	

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report.***)

Awarding Body: Modesto City Schools

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Downey HS Modernization	3/4/2008	Acme Construction	\$57,000,000.00
Downey HS Swimming Pool	10/29/2008	Acme Construction	\$2,800,000.00
Joseph Gregori HS New Building	12/3/2007	Acme Construction	\$118,500,000.00
Playground Equipment Upgrades	3/24/2010	AIRS	\$37,000.00
Total			\$178,337,000.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Downey HS Modernization	Acosta & Sons	\$1,011.20	\$1,011.20	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Paying apprentice rates to journeyman
Downey HS Modernization	APC Contractors	\$2,490.00	\$2,490.00	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase
Downey HS Modernization	Kent Lathing	\$15,673.91	\$15,673.91	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase
Downey HS Modernization	Signs Your Way	\$25.80	\$25.80	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase
Downey HS Swimming Pool	Pool Scene	\$567.44	\$567.44	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment- Apprentice working alone
Joseph Gregori HS New Building	Peterson King Glass	\$663.38	\$663.38	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Differential pay for new federal rates - ARRA
Joseph Gregori HS New	Mastec	\$81,251.20	Pending	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

LCP-AR3

Total		\$101,382.73	\$20,131.53		
-------	--	--------------	-------------	--	--

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Downey HS Modernization	\$1,011.20	\$1,011.20	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Downey HS Modernization	\$2,490.00	\$2,490.00	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Downey HS Modernization	\$15,673.91	\$15,673.91	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Downey HS Modernization	\$25.80	\$25.80	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Downey HS Swimming Pool	\$567.44	\$567.44	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Joseph Gregori HS New Building	\$663.38	\$663.38	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Joseph Gregori HS New Building	\$81,251.20	Pending	Pending
Total	\$101,382.73	\$20,131.53	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

LCP-AR3

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Mount Diablo USD**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
1519 – Site Work, New Classrooms and Restrooms at Olympic HS	5/12/2008	Biland Construction Company	\$760,000.00
1533 – Football Bleacher Repairs at Clayton Valley HS	11/5/2008	River View Construction, Inc.	\$357,690.00
1534 – Mechanical Systems and HVAC at Multiple Schools	11/19/2008	Taber Construction, Inc.	\$5,340,950.00
1535 – Interim Housing at Olympic HS & Pleasant Hill Education Center	1/16/2009	Sedge Electric	\$89,000.00
Olympic HS #2 – Modular Buildings	4/7/2008	Meehleis Modular Buildings, Inc.	\$1,738,053.00
Olympic HS #3 – Modular Bldgs. To Support Replacement of Wings F & G and 1 Restroom	9/15/2008	Meehleis Modular Buildings, Inc	\$2,167,814.00
Total			\$10,453,507.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total					

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A			
Total			

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Sacramento City USD**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
John F. Kennedy Modernization	11/16/2007	Landmark Construction	\$5,506,177.00
John F. Kennedy Portables	4/24/2008	Lamon Construction Company, Inc.	\$549,000.00
Sacramento HS Emergency Wall Repair	5/18/2007	Arntz Builders	\$8,700,000.00
Sacramento HS Modernization	7/1/2006	Arntz Builders	\$10,449,850.00
Total			\$25,205,027.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
John F. Kennedy Modernization	Landmark Construction	\$159.92	\$159.92	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Travel and Subsistence
Sacramento HS Modernization	Rose Glass & Aluminum	\$915.89	\$915.89	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Travel and Subsistence
Total		\$1,075.81	\$1,075.81		

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
John F. Kennedy Modernization	\$159.92	\$159.92	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Sacramento HS Modernization	\$915.89	\$915.89	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$1,075.81	\$1,075.81	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **San Leandro USD**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
9th Grade HS Project	9/11/2008	Jeff Luchetti Construction	\$21,258,000.00
Bancroft MS Roofing and HVAC	5/6/2009	IMR Contractor Company	\$2,358,400.00
San Leandro HS Library Expansion	1/29/2009	Sequoia Pacific Builders, Inc.	\$648,420.00
San Leandro HS New Arts Education Center	4/4/2009	Zolman Construction	\$13,200,000.00
Total			\$37,464,820.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
9th Grade HS Project	Bay Cities Paving and Grading	\$258.76	\$258.76	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of wages
9th Grade HS Project	Total Site Maintenance	\$188.23	\$188.23	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Misuse of Group 4 Laborer
San Leandro HS New Arts Education Center	Berkeley Cement	\$52.77	\$52.77	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of wages
Total		\$499.76	\$499.76		

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
9th Grade HS Project	\$258.76	\$258.76	Informal Process-all parties agreed
9th Grade HS Project	\$188.23	\$188.23	Informal Process-all parties agreed
San Leandro HS New Arts Education Center	\$52.77	\$52.77	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$499.76	\$499.76	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: San Mateo UHSD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Burlingame HS Practice Field Restoration	9/27/2007	Multiple prime contractors	\$1,072,601.59
Burlingame HS Remediation of Arsenic Contaminated Soil	8/14/2007	Clean Harbors Environmental Services	\$1,005,415.13
San Mateo HS Bio Technology Building	9/1/2009	WL Butler Construction, Inc.	\$5,145,000.00
Total			\$7,223,016.72

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Burlingame HS Remediation of Arsenic Contaminated Soil	Clean Harbors	\$39,320.75	\$39,320.75	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment
Total		\$39,320.75	\$39,320.75		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A			
Total			

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
Remediation of Arsenic Contaminated Soil at Burlingame HS	Clean Harbors	Underpayment	1775 – 09-0194-PWH	Approved

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Santa Clara County Office of Education**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Ann Darling ES	2/7/2008	Multiple Prime Contractors	\$5,489,419.00
ASD Snell	8/10/2009	Multiple Prime Contractors	\$151,201.00
Brownell MS	12/20/2007	Multiple Prime Contractors	\$3,087,000.00
Foothill High School	9/28/2009	John Plane Construction, Inc.	\$2,355,403.00
Oster Portables	9/28/2009	Multiple Prime Contractors	\$197,345.00
The Foundry	5/22/2009	Enviroplex, Inc.	\$310,000.00
Total			\$11,590,368.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
The Foundry	Forte Construction	\$285.27	\$285.27	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Mis-use of Classification and OT for a Saturday
The Foundry	Terracoast	\$423.90	\$423.90	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Missed increase
Total		\$709.17	\$709.17		

LCP-AR3

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
The Foundry	\$285.27	\$285.27	Informal Process-all parties agreed
The Foundry	\$423.90	\$423.90	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$709.17	\$709.17	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: **Santa Cruz County Office of Education**

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Live Oak Special Education Center Mod	7/20/2008	CRW Industries	\$2,250,638.00
Total			\$2,250,638.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total					

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A			
Total			

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report***).

Awarding Body: Sylvan USD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Somerset MS Locker Room Mod.	5/19/2009	J L Bray & Son, Inc.	\$1,964,467.00
Total			\$1,964,467.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Somerset MS Locker Room Mod.	Mann Electric	\$196.25	\$196.25	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Apprentices working alone
Total		\$196.25	\$196.25		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Somerset MS Locker Room Mod.	\$196.25	\$196.25	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$196.25	\$196.25	

LCP-AR3

- D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

- E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

- F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

- G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

LCP-AR3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and ***please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report.***)

Awarding Body: Western Placer USD

- A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Foskett Ranch ES Additions	5/20/2009	Seward L. Schreder Construction, Inc.	\$413,967.00
Lincoln HS Additions & Modernization	5/20/2009	Seward L. Schreder Construction, Inc.	\$2,930,110.00
Total			\$3,344,077.00

- B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Foskett Ranch ES Additions	Clayton A Titus General Contractor	\$151.12	\$151.12	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Underpayment of wages
Total		\$151.12	\$151.12		

- C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Foskett Ranch ES Additions	\$151.12	\$151.12	Informal Process-all parties agreed
Total	\$151.12	\$151.12	

LCP-AR3

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A										
Total										

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A				

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____